



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,698	02/27/2002	Milind M. Kulkarni	CISCP271/5126	6296	
22434	7590 11/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			SALAD, ABDU	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OARLAND, CA 74012-0230		•	2157	2157	
	•		DATE MAN ED 11/10/2005		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,698	KULKARNI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Salad E. Abdullahi	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-28 and 44-46 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 29-43 and 47-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

Response

1. The response filed on 8/22/2005 has been received and made of record.

2. Applicant's argument filed 8/22/2005 with respect to claims 29-43, 47-49 have been fully considered but are not persuasive because of the following reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-28, and 44-46 are allowed.
- 4. Applicant alleges in Magret there is only single home agent not plurality of home agents. Examiner would like to point a section of Magret which describes "Although the network illustrated in FIG. 1 illustrates only one home agent or foreign agent per site, a person skilled in the art should recognize that the network may conventionally support multiple home agents and/or foreign agents. A person skilled in the art should also recognize that the foreign network may conventionally support multiple mobile nodes with the same private IP address, and is not limited to only two" (see col. 5, lines 54-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698

Art Unit: 2157

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 29-43, 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magret U.S. Patent No. 6,856,624[hereinafter Magret] in view of Johansson et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0080752[hereinafter Johansson]

As per claim 29, Marget discloses in a Home Agent supporting Mobile IP, a method of processing a registration request from a Mobile Node that has roamed to a Foreign Agent supporting Mobile IP, comprising:

receiving a registration request from one of a plurality of Home Agents, the registration request being addressed associated with the plurality of Home Agents(see fig. 8 and col. 10, lines 8-27);

processing the registration request such that a binding between the Mobile Node and the Foreign Agent is created(see col. 5, line 62 to col. 6, line 10); and Marge is silent regarding:

receiving the registration request addressed to a virtual Home Agent address and sending a registration reply to the primary one of the plurality of Home Agents.

Johansson, discloses a route optimization technique for a mobile IP communications system including receiving a registration request addressed to a virtual Home Agent address and sending a registration reply to the primary one of the plurality of Home

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698

Art Unit: 2157

Agents (see fig. 13a and paragraph 0142). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Johansson such as receiving the registration request addressed to a virtual Home Agent address in order to provide redundant mechanism for the home agents in the case of failure.

As per claims 30-31, Johansson discloses the method as recited in claim 29, wherein the registration request specifies a destination MAC address equal to a MAC address assigned to the Home Agent (see paragraph 0086)

As per claim 32-42, Johansson discloses the method as recited in claim 29, wherein the registration request specifies a destination IP address as the virtual Home Agent address (see fig. 13a and paragraph 0142).

As per claims 43, 47, 48 and 49, the claims include features analogous with features in claim 29, discussed above thus claims 43, 47, 48 and 49, are rejected same rational as claim 29.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/084,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 2157

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion[®]

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS 11/14/2005

ABDULLAHI SALAD PRIMARY EXAMINER